

Respect
Honesty Integrity
Excellence Commitment

Lethbridge Regional Police Service



2009 Annual Report





Table of Contents

Message from Chief Tom McKenzie	2
Facts about the Lethbridge Regional Police Service	3
Mission, Vision and Values	3
Lethbridge Regional Police Commission	4
Lethbridge Regional Police Service Administration	4
• Community Policing Division	4
• Criminal Investigation Division	4
• Administrative Services Division	4
• Support Services Division	4
Organization Chart	5
2009 Divisional Highlights	6
• Community Policing Division	6
• Criminal Investigation Division	6
• Support Services Division	7
• Administrative Services Division	7
• Citizen Recognition Awards	7
Promotions, Recognitions of Service, Member Hires, Resignations, Retirements	8
Victim/Witness Services	9

2009 Statistical Report

Methodology	11
Crimes Against Persons	12
Crimes Against Property	15
Vice Crimes	18
Other Offences	19
Other Police Responses	20
Professional Standards Unit	21
Criminal Code Driving Offences	22
Traffic Collisions	23
Collision Fatalities	24
Provincial Statute Summons Issued	25
2009 Year End Tickets	26

Message from Chief Tom McKenzie

As Chief of the Lethbridge Regional Police Service I am very privileged to work alongside the talented men and women of this organization in serving the citizens of Lethbridge and Coaldale. We are supported by the tireless efforts of a strong Victim/Witness Services Unit, as well as other community initiatives and partnerships that benefit from the diligence and dedication of many volunteers.

Every member of the Lethbridge Regional Police Service is committed to our mission, vision and values and guided by the four pillars of policing – public safety, public trust, public confidence and professionalism. Together we are a strong team and the productivity of the past year is evidence of the commitment we share in “providing safe communities.”

Working in partnership with the Lethbridge Regional Police Commission we will continue targeting four key strategic areas – crime and disorder, traffic safety, community involvement and a healthy organization – to achieve the service’s vision.

Over the past year we have developed strategies and initiatives to enhance community safety and proactively address crime. Following a comprehensive analysis of call loads, pending call data and shift patterns, a new deployment model realigning the beat areas was implemented to enhance police response to calls for service and address crime trends.

In addition, a number of operational plans were undertaken in order to increase police presence on the street and target various issues such as car prowling. A tender process was completed for the implementation of Intersection Safety Devices and it’s anticipated the technology will be operational in 2010. Various crime prevention programs were developed, including an anti-graffiti initiative and the re-building of Neighbourhood Watch and Block Watch. A total of 13 officers and 10 civilian staff members were hired in 2009 and local, provincial and national partnerships continue to ensure cooperation and collaboration among our Service and other law enforcement agencies.

In 2009 there were 51,929 police actions taken in Lethbridge and Coaldale, including calls for service as well as officer-initiated events. Property crimes such as thefts and break-ins continue to impact the community, however overall rates of property crime decreased six per cent between 2008 and 2009 which is consistent with national trends. Fraud cases have shown a 24 per cent decrease overall, however the abundance of schemes and scams of varying complexity continue to be a concern in light of the ongoing evolution of the Internet. Traffic safety was significantly impacted by a 58 per cent increase in pedestrian injury collisions and an 81 per cent increase in cyclist collisions between 2008 and 2009. In addition, there was also one fatal pedestrian collision. Fatal and injury motor vehicle collisions decreased, however those involving property damage increased. Incidents of crimes against persons have increased nearly 14 per cent overall, and the influence of the drug trade and associated violence continues to escalate. Assaults causing bodily harm and assaults with a weapon increased 21 per cent over the previous year.

As we move forward I encourage the strengthening of community partnerships, continued efforts to prevent and reduce crime as well as a high level of customer service delivered with respect, honesty, integrity, excellence and commitment.

Chief Tom McKenzie



“providing safe communities”

Lethbridge Regional Police Service

The intention of this Annual Report is to provide a record of Lethbridge Regional Police Service accomplishments in 2009 and to inform of significant activities undertaken throughout the year.

As it was known then, the Lethbridge Police Force was established in 1902 and Thomas Lewis was appointed Chief Constable. At the time, a total of two officers served an estimated population of about 2,000 people.

Facts about the Lethbridge Regional Police Service

Regional Policing Population:

Lethbridge 85,492
Coaldale 6,943
Total 92,435

Police to Population Ratio1:596

155 Sworn members
47 Non-sworn members
43 Volunteer Members

Operational Budget: \$23,151,751

Public Safety Communications Centre
(PSCC) police reported calls
for service 30,387
Total police actions taken . . . 51,929
PSCC total 911 calls 47,346

OUR MISSION

**Providing Safe
Communities**

OUR VISION

Citizens living in a safe
community provided through:

- Community Participation
- Partnerships, and
- Excellence in Service Delivery

OUR VALUES

All members of the Lethbridge
Regional Police Service share in
and demonstrate the following
values:

- Respect
- Honesty
- Integrity
- Excellence, and
- Commitment



Lethbridge Regional Police Commission 2009

Chair	Doug McLaughlin
Vice-Chair	Alex Hann
Member	Lorne Armstrong
Member	Edward Rice
Member	Alexander McKay
Member	Wayne Etches (resigned in October)
Member	Adam Zanoni (joined in November)
Alderman	Tom Wickersham
Alderman	Rajko Dodic
Councilor	Sherrie Duda

Lethbridge Regional Police Service Administration

Community Policing Division – Inspector Colin Catonio

The Community Policing Division's primary function is to provide first response service to the community to address matters of public safety, criminal activity, traffic safety, social disorder and general assistance. The Community and Diversity Resource Team and School Resource Officers develop and deliver programs designed to provide early intervention and to enhance relationships within our diverse community. The Traffic Response Unit provides coordinated traffic enforcement, collision investigation and delivers traffic safety programs.

Criminal Investigation Division – Inspector Jeff Cove

The Criminal Investigation Division provides support services to ongoing complicated criminal investigations that require specialized and dedicated resources. Intelligence-led policing requires effective partnerships with other agencies to provide a sustained impact on organized crime. Through the various investigative units, the Lethbridge Regional Police Service is a leader in criminal investigations in southern Alberta.


Administrative Services Division – Inspector Tom Ascroft

The Administrative Services Division is responsible for the administration of the Police Service. Managing budgets, business activities, human resources and public complaints investigations, the Administrative Services Division provides the basis of public transparency and organizational development.

Support Services Division – Inspector Bill Kaye

The Support Services Division provides support to all other divisions as required. Members of this division provide a specialized response to ongoing crises and ensure the continuous flow of information through systems designed to enhance policing operations.

Lethbridge Regional Police Service



Tom McKenzie
 Tom McKenzie, Chief of Police
 December 11, 2009

2009 Divisional Highlights

Community Policing Division Insp. Colin Catonio

In 2009 the Community Policing Division realigned patrol areas and initiated a new deployment model to enhance police response to calls for service and address crime trends. As part of this strategy seasonal bike patrols were also expanded to provide a greater police presence, proactively curb crime and address area concerns. Analysis of patrol call loads, pending call data and shift patterns will continue in an effort to understand demands for service and create efficiencies in the service provided.

The Downtown Beat Unit advanced an anti graffiti program which includes components to raise awareness and educate police members, youth and the public about graffiti issues. The Community and Cultural Diversity Resource Team also took steps to focus on re-building the Block Parent and Neighbourhood Watch programs in Lethbridge and Coaldale.

In an effort to reduce collisions, better utilize enforcement technology and enhance traffic safety a tender process was completed for the installation of three Intersection Safety Devices (red light cameras). Photo radar data was also analyzed and additional photo radar site locations were identified based on offender behaviour. In addition, the LRPS conducted a minimum of two Check Stops per month, as well as two joint forces Check Stops and the month long Christmas campaign to target impaired drivers.



Criminal Investigation Division Insp. Jeff Cove

In 2009 the Criminal Investigation Division produced and distributed crime bulletins both internally and externally to communicate crime trends to frontline officers, the media and citizens of Lethbridge and Coaldale in an effort to better target crime and disorder and promote crime prevention strategies.

Throughout 2009 CID investigators attended a number of specialized courses and workshops to enhance their investigative skills. The knowledge they have gained will also be utilized internally to provide training and mentoring for new personnel and frontline officers.

To address specific crime trends, CID developed and implemented various operational plans to target areas such as car prowling. On a provincial level, members of the Lethbridge Integrated Intelligence Unit and Special Operations Unit continued to work with other agencies in an effort target gang activity and drug trafficking.

Over the past decade, there has been an increase in the number of domestic violence cases investigated by the LRPS. In 1996 when one officer was assigned to manage domestic violence matters, there were 160 reports. In 2004, that number grew to over 400 and by 2008 it reached an all-time high of more than 1,500. At the beginning of 2009 two additional constables were assigned to the newly created Domestic Crimes Unit in order to ensure proper attention is paid to increased reports of violence in the home and help prevent high-risk situations from escalating.



Support Services Division

Insp. Bill Kaye

In 2009 the Alternative Reporting Team was created to manage online crime reporting of minor property-related offences via the LRPS website www.lethbridgepolice.ca. Two support staff were hired and helped develop and implement the new system. Online reporting is intended to create efficiencies and improve the service provided to citizens by offering another option to report certain crimes.

Members of the Information Technology Section installed an identification processing unit (for fingerprinting and photographing offenders) in the short term holding facility at police headquarters as well as a GPS system in all Patrol and Traffic vehicles.

The Support Services Division also continued working with the province and other police agencies on the Alberta Police Integrated Information Initiative (API 3) which will ultimately lead to better communication, information-sharing and intelligence-gathering between law enforcement agencies in Alberta.



Administrative Services Division

Insp. Tom Ascroft

In 2009 the Administrative Services Division began an in-depth project which analyzed the LRPS patrol deployment model, workload and scope of supervision pertaining to frontline policing methodology. In an effort to target crime and disorder, factors including calls for service, peak call load times, pending calls for service, shifting and deployment of personnel were studied and compared to models used by other police services throughout Canada and the United States to identify better ways of providing police services. This project will continue into the future with the assistance of a new Administrative Analyst who was hired in mid 2009.

To address demands for space within the police building as the number of both sworn and non-sworn employees continues to increase, a needs assessment was conducted to analyze current challenges, identify solutions and develop a long-term facility master plan. The results of the assessment will be released in early 2010.

In 2009 the Recruiting Unit hired a total of 7 new police recruits and 6 experienced police officers to maintain an adequate level of frontline officers and fill vacancies created by retirements.

Members of the Policy and Accreditation Unit continued to collect proofs for CALEA and Provincial Standards and participated in an OHS audit, which required the review and collection of three years worth of proofs. In addition, resources were dedicated to pandemic planning and preparation.



Citizen Recognition Awards

There were 4 letters of appreciation sent to citizens in 2009 (no certificates) - 2 for helping an officer involved in a fight, 1 for recovering a stolen safe in an irrigation canal and the other to someone who transported an injured dog to the animal clinic.

During 2009 fourteen Divisional Recognitions were presented to sworn members to acknowledge their outstanding performance.

Promotions

Inspector
Tom Ascroft

Staff Sergeant
Jason Dobirstein
Arthur Tamminga
Ian Sanderson

Sergeant
Mark Smallbones
Richard Kurina
Ken Hogan
George Carscadden

Recognition of Service

**30-Year Governor General of Canada
Police Exemplary Service Medal**
Bill Kaye
Zealand Leavitt
Doug Wilson

**20-Year Governor General of Canada
Police Exemplary Service Medal**
Bill Basso Tony Andrews
Shaun Millband Ross Bond
Scott Chadsey Blaine Stodolka
Tom Kramer Jerry Gurr



Member Hires

Sworn
Shawn Davis Bruce Hagel
Norman Smith Amy Toews
Troy Grisbrook Tanner Hansen
Stewart Seefried Travis McLennan
Kristen Songer Karly MacLeod
Yoan Bernatchez Jason Darby
Owen Conway

Non-Sworn
Amy Turcotte Jen McNeilly
Miranda Wills Jenn Birrell
Karen Robertson Melanie Legal
Brenda Kenward Jared Barrus
Dan Forsyth Darcie James

Resignations

Non-Sworn
Shauna Johnson
Marisa Bone
Maria Zacharuk

Retirements

Sworn
Gary Holberton
Eugene Wehlage
Darcie James
Don Lamont
Ken Orosz
Jerry Gurr
Gordon Zacher

Non-Sworn
Barbara Murphy
Laraine Stuart
Nicole Kozak



2009 LRPV Victims
Witness Services Unit

Victim/Witness Services

Darcie James, Program Manager

Adam Stokell

Bill Cooke

Cass Loff

Donna Vigar

Gloria Holberton

Jacqui Lilley

Kelly Congdon

Krista Roberts

Marion Setter

Peggy Fletcher

Sarah Russell

Stephanie Gokarn

Anita Blicharz

Bonnie Barrows

Christina Kaye

Emilie Schell

Grace Couturier

Jean Harrowing

Kelsie Bonish

Leona Andrews

Nancy Percevault

Penny Balfour

Scott Cameron

Tanya Surette

Ann Hodgson

Brent Aleman

Darryl Graham

Frank Soyka

Gwena Schultchen

Joan Ross

Kem Brewer

Lorn Forster

Pam Williams

Rosalind Shakotko

Sharlene Telenga

Tessa Keenan

Beau Hay

Carel Macleod

Diane Lefebvre

Florence Huesken

Helen Neufeld

Joanne Horne


Kirstin Brown

Marianne Sheets

Pat Thurston

Ruth Gronemeyer

Sharon Rohaly



“providing safe communities”

Respect
Honesty Integrity
Excellence Commitment

Lethbridge Regional Police Service



2009 Statistical Report



Statistical Report - Methodology

This statistical information is derived from computerized data obtained from Lethbridge Regional Police Service Occurrence Stats –All Violations report in NICHE. The data was extracted on April 7, 2010 and is subject to variation from previously published statistical reports due to the nature of offences being reported and cleared. An incident may be comprised of one or more offences or violations of the law, or non- offence occurrences and therefore all of these are included in our totals. Data is calculated on a calendar year basis consisting of data from January 1 through December 31. This data is further confirmed through review by the investigational units.

OFFENCES are counted based on the reported date. CLEARANCES are counted in the month the offence is CLEARED BY CHARGE or CLEARED OTHERWISE. An offence is CLEARED BY CHARGE when at least one offender has been identified and charged in connection with that offence. An offence is CLEARED OTHERWISE when at least one offender has been identified and there is sufficient evidence to lay a charge but no charge is laid for one of the following reasons:

- a) the offender dies or is committed to a Mental Institution,
- b) the complainant or an essential witness dies,
- c) the offender is less than 12 years old,
- d) the offender has diplomatic immunity or there is government policy preventing prosecution,
- e) the offender is not in Canada and cannot be returned,
- f) the offender has been charged or is serving a sentence for another incident and it is decided not to proceed with a charge for this particular offence,
- g) the complainant does not wish prosecution against the offender,
- h) the police decide to warn the offender or recommend the offender for the Alternative Measures Program instead of laying a charge.

The CLEARANCE RATE is calculated by dividing the actual offences reported by the total offences cleared (offences cleared by charge plus offences cleared by other). Clearances also include offences reported in previous years and cleared in the current year; therefore for some offence types the clearance rate may exceed 100%.

The PERCENT CHANGE for incidents reported is calculated by subtracting the number of incidents for the preceding year from the number of incidents for the current year, dividing by the number of incidents for the preceding year then converting to a percent by multiplying by 100.

For example, if there were 563 assaults in 2006 and 516 assaults in 2007, then the percent change is calculated as follows: $(516-563)/563 \times 100 = -8.3\%$. When the percent change is displayed as “-”, it simply means statistically the mathematical equation cannot be carried out as it is trying to divide by 0.



TRAFFIC OFFENCES are counted based on the reported date. Generally, a traffic offence relates to a charge laid for a specific violation. Abandoned vehicles and Hit and Run collisions are exceptions. They are reported and cleared in the same manner as non- traffic offences.



SUMMARY REPORT



CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Homicide														
First Degree Murder	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Murder	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Attempted Murder	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Infanticide	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Criminal Negligence - death	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total Homicide Offences:	3	1	-2	-66.7%	3	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%



Homicide offences include all instances where, by criminal code definition, a human life is taken at the hands of another. The number of homicides includes both culpable and non culpable homicide. A non culpable homicide is reflected in the "cleared by other" category.



	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Robbery														
Financial Institution Robbery	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Financial Institution Robbery with Firearm	0	2	2	-	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Financial Institution Robbery with Offensive Weapon	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Commercial Robbery	13	16	3	23.1%	8	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	61.5%	75.0%
Commercial Robbery with Firearm	1	4	3	300.0%	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	75.0%
Commercial Robbery with Offensive Weapon	5	6	1	20.0%	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	120.0%	100.0%
Other Robbery	49	40	-9	-18.4%	27	17	-10	0	2	2	0	2	55.1%	52.5%
Other Robbery with Firearm	1	2	1	100.0%	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	50.0%
Other Robbery with Offensive Weapon	12	21	9	75.0%	6	8	2	0	0	0	2	0	66.7%	38.1%
Total Robbery Offences:	81	91	10	12.3%	48	49	1	0	2	2	2	2	61.7%	58.2%
Robbery - Weapons Displayed														
Firearms	2	8	6	300.0%										
Other Weapons	17	27	10	58.8%										
Total Weapons Displayed:	19	35	16	84.2%										



Commercial Robbery: In 2009, 56.2% (9/16) of robberies were in relation to shoplifters who became violent upon apprehension (down from 69.2% in 2008)
 Commercial Robbery with Firearm: 1 individual was responsible for 3 of the 4 incidents in 2009.
 All Commercial Robberies in 2009: 3 separate individuals were charged for 11 of the 21 commercial robberies that were cleared by charge in 2009 (52.4%)
 Other Robbery with Offensive Weapon: Of the 21 robberies, 15 were street robberies (71.4%).



CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Sex Crimes														
Aggravated Sexual Assault	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Sexual Assault	88	109	21	23.9%	32	46	14	8	4	-4	26	39	75.0%	81.7%
Sexual Assault - Weapon	2	6	4	200.0%	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	66.7%
Sexual Exploitation	1	0	-1	-100.0%	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	200.0%	0.0%
Sexual Interference	10	18	8	80.0%	7	15	8	2	0	-2	0	3	90.0%	100.0%
Indecent Acts	31	46	15	48.4%	10	11	1	5	5	0	0	2	48.4%	39.1%
Total Sex Related Offences:	132	179	47	35.6%	53	75	22	15	9	-6	26	45	71.2%	72.1%





The large percentage change in sexual assaults - weapon is skewed due to low counts. In 2009, one individual was charged with 2 of the 6 sexual assaults - weapon offences. Of the 18 reported sexual interferences in 2009, 3 were concluded as unfounded.

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Assault														
Assault	704	792	88	12.5%	513	582	69	73	69	-4	18	50	85.8%	88.5%
Assault - Bh/Weapon	165	199	34	20.6%	127	151	24	8	10	2	3	3	83.6%	82.4%
Assault - Aggravated	19	11	-8	-42.1%	18	8	-10	0	0	0	0	0	94.7%	72.7%
Assault - Police Officer	19	24	5	26.3%	18	23	5	1	1	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Assault - Other	25	16	-9	-36.0%	23	15	-8	1	0	-1	0	0	96.0%	93.8%
Assault - Other Officer	1	3	2	200.0%	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Total Assault Offences:	933	1045	112	12.0%	700	782	82	83	80	-3	21	53	86.2%	87.6%



CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Misc. Person Crimes														
Abduction - Custody Order	0	1	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.0%	100.0%
Abduction - No Custody Order	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Abduction - Person Under 14	2	0	-2	-100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Abduction - Person Under 16	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Abduction/Unlawful Confinement	21	23	2	9.5%	18	21	3	0	1	1	0	1	85.7%	100.0%
Removal Of Children From Canada	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	5	0	-5	-100.0%	4	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	80.0%	0.0%
Extortion By Libel	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Criminal Harassment	48	56	8	16.7%	41	38	-3	7	12	5	1	2	102.1%	92.9%
Harassing Phone Calls	42	47	5	11.9%	3	14	11	21	21	0	1	3	59.5%	80.9%
Indecent Phone Calls	4	4	0	0.0%	0	0	0	2	0	-2	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
Total Misc. Person Crimes:	122	131	9	7.4%	66	73	7	30	34	4	2	7	80.3%	87.0%





The high clearance rate indicates successful investigation and followup in this area.

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CHARGES RESULTING			
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Domestic Violence								
Domestic Violence	792	1101	309	39.0%	622	823	201	32.3%
Family Disputes	1017	1207	190	18.7%	265	219	-46	-17.4%
Emergency Protection Order	13	15	2	15.4%	0	0	0	0.0%

Domestic Violence incidents increased from 2008 to 2009, a similar increase in charges is also evident. Family Dispute charges are significantly lower due to these offences not necessarily being chargeable. Clearance rates are not shown due to the fact that there is no actual charge for the domestic violence category (i.e. the charge would be assault and not domestic violence).

Graphic Summation of Crimes Against Persons





CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	INCIDENTS REPORTED			CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
	1271	1447	13.8%	870	980	110	128	125	-3	51	107	82.5%	83.8%

Incidents of crimes against persons has increased 13.8% between 2008 and 2009. Clearance rates have also increased slightly in 2009. (Does not include Domestic Violence or Family Disputes)



CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Break and Enter														
Attempted B & E Apt/Suite	5	4	-1	-20.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.0%	50.0%
Attempted B & E House	40	26	-14	-35.0%	4	2	-2	0	0	0	0	1	12.5%	11.5%
Attempted B & E Institute/Bldg	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempted B & E Office Bldg	0	1	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempted B & E Other	2	4	2	100.0%	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0.0%	50.0%
Attempted B & E Priv Garage	6	2	-4	-66.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempted B & E School	0	2	2	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempted B & E Shop	7	8	1	14.3%	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.3%	12.5%
B & E Apt/Suite	47	43	-4	-8.5%	12	5	-7	3	1	-2	3	4	38.3%	23.3%
B & E House	255	224	-31	-12.2%	43	40	-3	3	6	3	8	18	21.2%	28.6%
B & E Institute/ Building	9	15	6	66.7%	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	13.3%
B & E Office Bldg	10	10	0	0.0%	2	1	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	30.0%	10.0%
B & E Other	49	99	50	102.0%	2	10	8	0	1	1	0	0	4.1%	11.1%
B & E Private Garage	46	98	52	113.0%	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	4.3%	5.1%
B & E School	4	4	0	0.0%	2	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	50.0%	50.0%
B & E Shop	99	113	14	14.1%	19	34	15	0	0	0	0	2	19.2%	31.9%
B & E to steal firearms	0	1	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unlawfully in Dwelling	15	14	-1	-6.7%	10	8	-2	2	2	0	1	0	86.7%	71.4%
Total B & E Offences:	594	668	74	12.5%	97	107	10	9	13	4	13	29	20.0%	22.3%



Incidents of Break and Enter increased from 2008 to 2009 and clearance rates have increased slightly. The largest increases were in B & E Other and B & E Private Garage.

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Theft Offences														
Theft Over	27	31	4	14.8%	8	7	-1	0	0	0	3	5	40.7%	38.7%
Theft Under	865	795	-70	-8.1%	131	115	-16	77	82	5	30	69	27.5%	33.5%
Theft from Auto Over	17	11	-6	-35.3%	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.9%	9.1%
Theft From Auto Under	917	914	-3	-0.3%	28	53	25	9	3	-6	3	1	4.4%	6.2%
Shoplifting Over	1	4	3	300.0%	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0.0%	50.0%
Shoplifting Under	864	854	-10	-1.2%	438	433	-5	252	283	31	8	8	80.8%	84.8%
Total Theft Offences:	2691	2609	-82	-3.0%	606	610	4	338	369	31	44	83	36.7%	40.7%
Possess Stolen Property	173	164	-9	-5.2%	184	148	-36	2	10	8	1	2	108.1%	97.6%
Possession of property obtained by crime	29	36	7	24.1%	29	38	9	1	0	-1	0	0	103.4%	105.6%





Thefts are divided into categories of general theft, theft from vehicle and theft by shoplifting. Each category is then divided further into offences where the value of the property stolen either exceeded \$5000, or was under \$5000. The total number of thefts does not include the number of auto thefts reported. The decrease in incidents coupled with the slight increase in clearance rates indicates an increase in successful followup in these matters.



CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Motor Vehicle Thefts														
Attempt Theft of Auto Over	2	0	-2	-100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempt Theft of Auto Under	25	13	-12	-48.0%	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	8.0%	30.8%
Attempt Theft of Motorcycle Over	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempt Theft of Motorcycle Under	1	0	-1	-100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Attempt Theft of Truck Over	11	6	-5	-45.5%	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	33.3%
Attempt Theft of Truck Under	15	3	-12	-80.0%	4	1	-3	0	0	0	0	0	26.7%	33.3%
Theft of Automobile Over	46	45	-1	-2.2%	15	10	-5	0	1	1	1	7	34.8%	40.0%
Theft of Automobile Under	73	67	-6	-8.2%	6	10	4	4	5	1	1	6	15.1%	31.3%
Theft of Motorcycle Over	2	4	2	100.0%	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.0%	50.0%
Theft of Motorcycle Under	7	11	4	57.1%	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	9.1%
Theft of Other M/V over	2	14	12	600.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Theft of Other M/V Under	1	5	4	400.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Theft of Truck Over	60	44	-16	-26.7%	10	25	15	2	1	-1	2	2	23.3%	63.6%
Theft of Truck Under	48	50	2	4.2%	12	8	-4	0	1	1	0	1	25.0%	20.0%
Subtotal	293	262	-31	-10.6%	49	60	11	6	10	4	4	17	20.1%	33.2%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Auto Over														
Take Vehicle without Consent / Auto Over	9	4	-5	-55.6%	5	5	0	1	0	-1	0	0	66.7%	125.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Auto Under	5	8	3	60.0%	2	4	2	1	3	2	0	1	60.0%	100.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Motorcycle Over	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Motorcycle Under	0	1	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Other MV Over	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Other MV Under	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Truck Over	2	3	1	50.0%	1	0	-1	1	1	0	0	1	100.0%	66.7%
Take Vehicle without Consent / Truck Under	2	4	2	100.0%	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Subtotal	19	20	1	5.3%	10	12	2	4	6	2	0	2	73.7%	100.0%
Total Vehicle Related Thefts:	312	282	-30	-9.6%	59	72	13	10	16	6	4	19	23.4%	37.9%
Stolen Motor Vehicles Rec	252	194	(26 vehicles remain outstanding for 2009)											
Percent of Stolen MV Rec	96.2%	88.2%												

Motor vehicle thefts in Lethbridge are not generally part of any organized crime operations. As the majority of stolen vehicles are recovered and 77% of those recovered were found in Lethbridge, it is clear that the predominate motive for motor vehicle theft is for transportation. The proportion of vehicles recovered in Lethbridge has remained fairly consistent with 71% in the previous year (2008). Once again, please be aware that large percentages of change reflect small absolute numbers rather than any real increase.




	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Fraud Offences														
Fraud - Cheque Over	4	10	6	150.0%	3	6	3	0	1	1	0	0	75.0%	70.0%
Fraud - Cheque Under	105	80	-25	-23.8%	59	61	2	16	4	-12	4	3	75.2%	85.0%
Fraud - Computer	1	5	4	400.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.0%	20.0%
Fraud - Credit Card Over	9	7	-2	-22.2%	3	2	-1	0	0	0	0	1	33.3%	42.9%
Fraud - Credit Card Under	133	95	-38	-28.6%	47	30	-17	6	4	-2	2	6	41.4%	42.1%
Fraud - Insurance Claims	1	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	100.0%	0.0%
Fraud - Other Over	13	9	-4	-30.8%	3	1	-2	0	0	0	4	0	53.8%	11.1%
Fraud - Other Under	283	198	-85	-30.0%	98	84	-14	55	35	-20	10	8	57.6%	64.1%
Fraud - Securities / Financial	0	1	1	-	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Fraud - Telemarketing	1	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0%	100.0%
Fraud Claims - Government	1	0	-1	-100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	100.0%	0.0%
Fraudulent Contracts/Trades	0	13	13	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Fraudulent Schemes	71	77	6	8.5%	0	1	1	63	68	5	0	0	88.7%	89.6%
Counterfeiting	82	38	-44	-53.7%	2	0	-2	1	1	0	8	10	13.4%	28.9%
Total Fraud Offences:	704	535	-169	-24.0%	215	185	-30	141	114	-27	31	30	55.0%	61.5%





CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Other Property Crimes														
Mischief	779	693	-86	-11.0%	161	204	43	76	53	-23	6	8	31.2%	38.2%
Mischief to MV	795	722	-73	-9.2%	73	68	-5	18	37	19	1	6	11.6%	15.4%
Arson	34	30	-4	-11.8%	2	4	2	5	3	-2	0	1	20.6%	26.7%
Arson Disregard Human Life	1	1	0	0.0%	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	200.0%
Arson Motor Vehicles	5	4	-1	-20.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	20.0%	0.0%
Total Other Property Crimes:	1,614	1,450	-164	-10.2%	238	278	40	99	93	-6	7	15	21.3%	26.6%



Graphic Summation of Crimes Against Property





CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY	INCIDENTS REPORTED			CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		% CLEARANCE RATE	
	2008	2009	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
	6,088	5,708	-6.2%	1,399	1,400	1	599	615	16	100	178	34.5%	38.4%



Overall property crime is down 6.2% in 2009 from 2008, clearance rates have increased slightly. The decline in overall property crime numbers suggests successful approaches to handling property crime. The only area that had an overall increase in incidents was the B & E offences (12.5%).

VICE CRIMES

	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Bawdy Houses	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Betting House	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Procuring	0	2	2	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.0%	100.0%
Production/Distrib Child Porn	0	6	6	-	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.0%	66.7%
Prost Under 18 Living Avails	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Prost Under 18 Procuring	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Prot. Child Inv In Prostit Act	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Soliciting - Female	11	17	6	54.5%	8	13	5	1	2	1	0	1	81.8%	94.1%
Soliciting - Male	3	0	-3	-100.0%	2	0	-2	1	0	-1	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Gaming and Liquor Act	608	638	30	4.9%	585	590	5	18	20	2	2	0	99.5%	95.6%
Gaming House	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Other Gaming & Betting	1	1	0	0.0%	0	1	1	1	0	-1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
C.D.S. Act Other	0	1	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Conspiracy	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cannabis - Cultivate	0	2	2	-	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Cannabis - Import-Export	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cannabis - Possession	81	100	19	23.5%	58	71	13	25	29	4	0	0	102.5%	100.0%
Cannabis - Production	8	4	-4	-50.0%	6	2	-4	0	1	1	2	2	100.0%	125.0%
Cannabis - Traffic	30	29	-1	-3.3%	26	29	3	1	0	-1	0	0	90.0%	100.0%
Cocaine - Import/Export	1	0	-1	-100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cocaine - Possession	29	30	1	3.4%	26	26	0	2	2	0	1	0	100.0%	93.3%
Cocaine - Production	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cocaine - Traffic	92	86	-6	-6.5%	76	71	-5	2	0	-2	0	1	84.8%	83.7%
Drugs-Meth Lab	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Ecstasy - Import/Export	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Ecstasy - Possession	2	5	3	150.0%	1	5	4	1	0	-1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Ecstasy - Production	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Ecstasy - Traffic	0	3	3	-	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Heroin - Import/Export	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Heroin - Possession	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Heroin - Production	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Heroin - Traffic	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Meth-Import / Export	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Meth-Lab	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Meth-Possession	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Meth-Production	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Meth-Traffic	0	1	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Other Drugs - Import/Export	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Other Drugs - Possession	13	12	-1	-7.7%	12	12	0	1	0	-1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Other Drugs - Production	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Other Drugs - Traffic	4	4	0	0.0%	5	4	-1	0	0	0	0	0	125.0%	100.0%
Total Vice Offences:	884	941	57	6.4%	806	832	26	53	56	3	5	7	97.7%	95.1%




	INCIDENTS REPORTED				CLEARED BY CHARGE			CLEARED BY OTHER			UNFOUNDED		RATE	
	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	CHNG	2008	2009	2008	2009
Bomb Threats	2	3	1	50.0%	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	2	50.0%	66.7%
Discharge Firearms	2	2	0	0.0%	1	0	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Discharge Weapon W/Intent	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Dispute With Weapon	9	3	-6	-66.7%	0	0	0	9	3	-6	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Explosive Substances	0	3	3	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	33.3%
Firearm - Non-Restricted	1	1	0	0.0%	1	0	-1	0	1	1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Firearm - Police Use	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Firearm - Prohibited	0	1	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Firearm - Restricted	1	3	2	200.0%	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Firearm/Other Offensive Weapon	4	4	0	0.0%	0	1	1	2	1	-1	0	0	50.0%	50.0%
Firearms Act	0	3	3	-	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Firearms Documentation/Admin	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Firearms Seized	4	5	1	25.0%	2	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Person With Gun	19	27	8	42.1%	0	1	1	18	24	6	0	0	94.7%	92.6%
Possess Offensive Weapon	66	91	25	37.9%	62	86	24	4	3	-1	0	1	100.0%	98.9%
Possess Prohibited Weapon	12	9	-3	-25.0%	11	7	-4	1	1	0	0	0	100.0%	88.9%
Possess Restricted Weapon	7	5	-2	-28.6%	7	5	-2	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Unauth Import/Export Weapons	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unsafe Storage Of Firearms	8	10	2	25.0%	7	7	0	1	1	0	0	1	100.0%	90.0%
Using Firearm or Imitation in commission of offence	3	4	1	33.3%	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	66.7%	100.0%
Weapons Poss Contrary To Order	4	5	1	25.0%	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Weapons Trafficking	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Subtotal	143	179	36	25.2%	99	124	25	39	39	0	0	4	96.5%	93.3%
Federal Acts General	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Fail to Comply - Youth Criminal Justice Act	21	19	-2	-9.5%	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	95.2%	105.3%
Trespass - Railway Act	8	1	-7	-87.5%	3	1	-2	3	0	-3	0	0	75.0%	100.0%
Firearms Act	0	3	3	-	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0.0%	100.0%
Provincial Acts General	10	24	14	140.0%	10	23	13	0	1	1	0	0	100.0%	100.0%
Youth Tobacco Act	40	50	10	25.0%	38	50	12	1	0	-1	0	0	97.5%	100.0%
Child, Youth & Family Enhancement Act	1	0	-1	-100.0%	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
Public Health Act	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Subtotal - Other Acts	80	97	17	21.3%	72	95	23	4	3	-1	0	0	95.0%	101.0%
Total Other Offences:	223	276	53	23.8%	171	219	48	43	42	-1	0	4	96.0%	96.0%



OTHER POLICE RESPONSES



	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Alarms				
False Alarm	1798	2062	264	14.7%
False Fire Alarms	3	4	1	33.3%
Genuine Alarm	31	57	26	83.9%
Total Alarm Responses:	1832	2123	291	15.9%
Missing Persons*				
Eloped Juvenile - Female	184	203	19	10.3%
Eloped Juvenile - Male	106	170	64	60.4%
Missing Pers Juv - Female	34	74	40	117.6%
Missing Pers Juv - Male	29	48	19	65.5%
Subtotal	353	495	142	40.2%
Eloped Mental Patient	2	5	3	150.0%
Missing Pers Adult - Female	37	52	15	40.5%
Missing Pers Adult - Male	47	38	-9	-19.1%
Total Missing Persons:	439	590	151	34.4%



False alarms accounted for 97.3% of total alarm responses in 2009 (down from 98.3% in 2008).


INCIDENTS REPORTED

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Tactical Team Responses:				
City Response	3	4	1	33.3%
Regional response	1	0	-1	-100.0%
Total Tactical Team Responses:	4	4	0	0.0%
High Risk Warrant Execution	3	1	-2	-66.7%
Weapons/Violence Complaint	1	3	2	200.0%
Total	4	4	0	0.0%




OTHER POLICE RESPONSES

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Explosives Disposal Unit				
City Response	4	5	1	25.0%
Regional response	0	1	1	-
Total EDU Responses:	4	6	2	50.0%




PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS UNIT

Nature of Information Gathered	INCIDENTS REPORTED		CHNG	%CHNG
	2008	2009		
Notes to File Maintained by the Professional Standards Unit	24	25	1	4.2%
Compliments	135	126	-9	-6.7%
Complaints that proceeded to a Formal Investigation	8	8	0	0.0%
Formal Complaint Investigations that proceeded to Mediation	1	0	-1	-100.0%
Formal Complaint Investigations Withdrawn	1	0	-1	-100.0%
TOTAL:	169	159	-10	-5.9%




CRIMINAL CODE DRIVING OFFENCES

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Drive Impaired	304	299	-5	-1.6%
Impaired Driving - B.Harm	3	1	-2	-66.7%
Impaired Driving - Death	2	0	-2	-100.0%
Dangerous Driving	199	195	-4	-2.0%
Dangerous Driving - B.Harm	4	0	-4	-100.0%
Dangerous Driving - Death	1	0	-1	-100.0%
Blood/Alcohol Over .08	5	9	4	80.0%
Refuse Blood Sample	2	1	-1	-50.0%
Refuse Breathalyzer	24	39	15	62.5%
Refuse Roadside Screening	3	5	2	66.7%
Danger Drive Evading - B.Harm	0	0	0	0.0%
Danger Drive Evading Police	14	9	-5	-35.7%
Dangerous Operation/Causing Deaths Evading Police	0	0	0	0.0%
Drive Disqualified	20	21	1	5.0%
Fail To Remain At Scene	12	9	-3	-25.0%
Total Criminal Driving Offences:	593	588	-5	-0.8%




TRAFFIC COLLISIONS

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Fatal Collisions	5	1	-4	-80.0%
Injury Collisions	412	354	-58	-14.1%
Property Damage Collision over \$1000	2453	2630	177	7.2%
Property Damage Collision under \$1000	100	114	14	14.0%
Hit & Run Collision property damage	826	973	147	17.8%
Hit & Run Collision property damage-CHARGE	0	0	0	0.0%
Hit & Run Collisions Fatality	0	0	0	0.0%
Hit & Run Collisions Injury	34	34	0	0.0%
TOTAL:	3830	4106	276	7.2%



Collisions with Pedestrians


	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Fatal Collisions - pedestrian	0	1	1	-
Injury Collisions - pedestrian	33	52	19	57.6%
Hit & Run Collisions Fatality - pedestrian	0	0	0	0.0%
Hit & Run Collisions Injury - pedestrian	13	11	-2	-15.4%
Injury Collisions - cyclist	16	29	13	81.3%
Injury Hit and Run - cyclist	2	4	2	100.0%
Injury collisions - Motorcycle	15	16	1	6.7%
TOTAL:	79	113	34	43.0%



Collisions increased slightly from 2008 to 2009 (due to property damage collisions), more problematic is the increase in the number of collisions where pedestrians or cyclists are involved.

TRAFFIC COLLISIONS FATALITIES



	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
Vehicle Driver	3	0	-3	-100.0%
Vehicle Passenger	2	0	-2	-100.0%
Pedestrian	0	1	1	-
Bicyclist	0	0	0	0.0%
Motorcyclist	0	0	0	0.0%
Others	0	0	0	0.0%
TOTAL:	5	1	-4	-80.0%



PROVINCIAL STATUTE SUMMONS ISSUED

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
SELECTED TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT OFFENCES:				
Suspended Driver	294	365	71	24.1%
Careless Driving	207	334	127	61.4%
Right of Way to Pedestrian	44	58	14	31.8%
Speeding	6811	5388	-1423	-20.9%
Traffic Light Violations	169	138	-31	-18.3%
Traffic Control Device Violation	450	625	175	38.9%
Fail to Wear Seat Belt	1778	2158	380	21.4%
Total Selected Violations Issued:	9753	9066	-687	-7.0%
Total Conventional Tickets Issued	17663	16987	-676	-3.8%

	2008	2009	CHNG	%CHNG
SUMMONS ISSUED BY ACT*				
TSA	16149	15491	-658	-4.1%
GLA	791	764	-27	-3.4%
Bylaws	300	260	-40	-13.3%
Petty Tresspass	263	296	33	12.5%
Envir Prot Act	91	89	-2	-2.2%
Youth Tobacco	43	53	10	23.3%
CC	9	9	0	0.0%
School Act	15	22	7	46.7%
Dangerous Goods	2	0	-2	-100.0%
Insurance Act	0	2	2	-
Residential Tenancies Act	0	1	1	-
TOTAL SUMMONS ISSUED	17663	16987	-676	-3.8%



TICKETS (10 Yr Comparison)

Year	Conventional	Photo Radar	Total
2000	9588	11617	21205
2001	8320	23565	31885
2002	13241	20019	33260
2003	18557	20629	39186
2004	16294	29054	45348
2005	13211	28836	42047
2006	10427	33898	44325
2007	13102	33192	46294
2008	17663	32576	50239
2009	16987	31638	48625
TOTAL:	137390	265024	402414

